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Project Overview

• Outfitting an existing Drilling Semi-Submersible with a DP-3 class system

• Semi-Submersible is currently in Shipyard
Objectives

Model Test and Numerical Predictions were Performed to:

- Ensure that DP system will perform as planned.
- Satisfy clients requirements.
- Cross check numerical model vs. physical tests.
- Comply with common industry practice.
## Semi-Submersible Particulars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pontoon length</td>
<td>105.00 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pontoon width</td>
<td>16.00 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pontoon height</td>
<td>12.25 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pontoon spacing C-C</td>
<td>55.00 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corner Column dimensions</td>
<td>13.6 x 14.6 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Column dimensions</td>
<td>11.6 x 14.6 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column spacing C-C longitudinally</td>
<td>33.50 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column spacing C-C transversely</td>
<td>55.00 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height to main deck</td>
<td>38.25 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height to machinery deck</td>
<td>37.25 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Displacement</td>
<td>38,137 tones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Displacement</td>
<td>58,000 tones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Semi-Submersible Particulars (continued)
- General Arrangements, Elevation
- Thrusters Type: Rolls-Royce UUC 355 FP
  Diameter = 3.5 m
  Motor Speed = 720 rpm
  Power  = 3750 kW
  Thrust  = 646 kN

- Control System and Software by Converteam (previously Alstom).
- Model thrusters (8 replicas) were used to represent prototype thrusters.

- Nautronix NMS6000 DPS control system and software was used for the model test to simulate prototype DPS.

- NMS6000 was modified to operate in model test environment at the scale factor chosen.

  - $\lambda = 1:50$ to meet facility limitations and match model scale.
Thruster Check Outside the Model

Thruster assembly inside the model
•DPS Control Screen Layout:
### Environmental Conditions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Wave</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Wind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hs (m)</td>
<td>Tp (sec)</td>
<td>knots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-yr GoM WS</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Yr Brazil</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Theoretical Background

- Typical Thrust losses in DP system occur due to thruster-hull interactions and thruster-thruster interactions.
- Thruster-hull interactions depend on design, dimensions, hull shape, draft, etc.
- Thrust losses in a twin hull DP semi-submersible can reach up to 40-50% if the jet from one thruster is directed to the opposite hull.
- Frictional losses and Coanda effect have a large contribution on the performance of any DP system.
- These losses can be reduced if the thrust jet is directed away from the hull and other thrusters.
• Effect of Tilting Thruster (or Nozzle) on Thruster Efficiency:
Test Set-Up and Results
- Calibration and Interaction Tests

Three sets of dual-axis load cells were used to measure thrust forces during thruster-hull and thruster-thruster interaction tests.
One Thruster Operating (T1)

Two Thrusters Operating (T1 & T3)
- Sea-Keeping Tests
-Numerical Predictions:

GoM 10-Yr Winter Storm
Hs = 4.9m, Tp = 10.5s
Wind = 48 knots, Current = 1.6 knots
-Model Test:

Tests were conducted at four headings
Wind, Waves and Current are collinear
All thrusters operating
Output from Motion Measurement device is used as position indicator
Discussion of Results

1. Both numerical predictions and model test show available thrust is greater than required thrust.
2. Difference between numerical predictions and actual measurements can be attributed to estimation of percentage losses.
3. Model test limitations and large scale factor may also affect the test results.
Challenges and Lessons Learned

- Interaction tests and propulsion tests are usually carried on large models (small scale factor). These scale factors are usually between 1:25 to 1:30. Model testing facility limitations may dictate the model size.
- Previous tests have shown that tilting the thrusters reduces losses and hull effects by about 15 to 20%. After the test, it was decided to use tilted thrusters to overcome any future increase in rig load or changes in environmental conditions.
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